

## OSHA Ramps Up Employers' COVID-19 Recordkeeping Obligations

5.20.20

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration just issued new guidance for enforcing its COVID-19 recordkeeping requirements, soon requiring covered employers to make an increased effort to determine whether they need to record and report coronavirus cases in the workplace. This new standard, which will become effective on May 26, reverses course from an agency policy released a few weeks ago that had given OSHA enforcement discretion when it came to the recordkeeping obligations for employers not in the healthcare, correction center, and emergency responder industries. What do employers need to know about this new standard?

### The Basics: When Does Your Recordkeeping Obligation Kick In?

Before summarizing the new standard, it's important to understand employers' obligations in this area. OSHA recordkeeping requirements mandate covered employers record certain work-related injuries and illnesses on their OSHA 300 log. According to the agency, COVID-19 cases must be recorded if:

1. The case is a confirmed case of COVID-19;
2. The case is work-related (as defined by 29 CFR § 1904.5); and
3. The case involves one or more of the general recording criteria as outlined by OSHA: if it results in death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond "first aid," or loss of consciousness (OSHA provides a specific and complete definition of "first aid" in 29 CFR § 1904.7(b)(5)(ii)).

### ATTORNEYS

Nicholas S. Hulse

Travis W. Vance

### SERVICES

Prevention and Compliance

Workplace Safety and  
Catastrophe Management



## OSHA Ramps Up Employers' COVID-19 Recordkeeping Obligations

### Employers Must Make an Effort To Determine Work-Relatedness Of COVID-19

In its May 19 announcement, OSHA pointed to the fact that transmission of the virus and preventing infection are now better understood, and workers are returning to work. For these reasons, the agency is now taking the position that employers in all industries should determine whether employee COVID-19 illnesses are work-related and thus recordable. Thus, as of May 26, OSHA will be enforcing the recordkeeping requirements for employee COVID-19 illnesses for all employers, and not just for those in high-risk industries.

The agency has provided some leeway, however. It indicated that it understands that certain **small employers** lack access to employees' medical information. For this reason, it said it will not aggressively enforce the requirement against those employers.

Further, OSHA continues to recognize the difficulty in determining work-relatedness and will assess employers' efforts in making work-related determinations. The guidance directs agency Compliance Safety and Health Officers (CSHOs) to consider a variety of factors when determining whether an employer has complied with the obligation to make **a reasonable determination of work-relatedness**. These factors include:

- *The reasonableness of the employer's investigation into work-relatedness.* For most employers learning of an employee's COVID-19 illness, it is sufficient to (1) ask the employee how they believe they contracted the COVID-19 illness, (2) while respecting employee privacy, discuss with the employee their work and out-of-work activities that may have led to the COVID-19 illness, and (3) review the employee's work environment for potential SARS-CoV-2 exposure.
- *The evidence available to the employer.* CSHOs will consider the information reasonably available to the employer at the time it made its work-relatedness determination.
- *The evidence that COVID-19 was contracted at work.* The guidance highlights that certain types of evidence weigh in favor of or against work-relatedness. For example, when there is no alternative explanation, a case is likely work related:
  - When several cases develop among workers who work closely together;
  - If it is contracted after lengthy, close exposure to customer or coworker who has a confirmed case of COVID-19; or
  - If an employee's job duties include having frequent, close exposure to the general public in a locality with widespread transmission.

If an employer makes a reasonable and good faith inquiry but cannot determine whether it is more likely than not that exposure in the workplace played a role in the confirmed case of COVID-19, the agency says that the employer does not need to record the illness.



## OSHA Ramps Up Employers' COVID-19 Recordkeeping Obligations

---

### What Should You Do?

This new guidance highlights the importance of implementing preventive measures and measures for contact tracing as employees return to the workplace. You should continue to focus on minimizing the risk of transmission in the workplace and develop a procedure to investigate the circumstances surrounding employees who test positive for COVID-19. You should also be mindful of respecting employee privacy during your investigation into the work-relatedness of a confirmed case of COVID-19.

As you begin the process of reopening, you should familiarize yourself with our alert: [5 Steps To Reopen Your Workplace, According To CDC's Latest Guidance](#). You should also keep handy our [4-Step Plan For Handling Confirmed COVID-19 Cases When Your Business Reopens](#) in the event you learn of a positive case at your workplace. For a more thorough analysis of the many issues you may encounter from a labor and employment perspective, we recommend you review our [FP BEYOND THE CURVE: Post-Pandemic Back-To-Business FAQs For Employers](#) and our [FP Resource Center For Employers](#).

### Conclusion

Fisher Phillips will continue to monitor the rapidly developing COVID-19 situation and provide updates as appropriate. Make sure you are subscribed to Fisher Phillips' Alert System to get the most up-to-date information. For further information, contact your Fisher Phillips attorney, or any member of our [Post-Pandemic Strategy Group Roster](#).

---

*This Legal Alert provides an overview of a specific developing situation. It is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, legal advice for any particular fact situation.*